arXiv:1112.2181v1 [hep-ex] 9 Dec 2011

Corrections to the HARP-CDP Analysis of the LSND Neutrino Oscillation Backgrounds

G. T. Garvey, W. C. Louis, G. B. Mills, & D. H. White
Los Alamos National Laboratory; Los Alamos, NM 87545

(Dated: December 12, 2011)

Several mistakes have been found in recent papers that purport to reanalyze the backgrounds to the LSND neutrino oscillation signal. Once these mistakes are corrected, then it is determined that the background estimates in the papers are close to (if not lower than) the LSND background estimate.

The HARP-CDP group analyzed the pion production data taken by the HARP experiment at CERN with 1.5 GeV/c protons incident on a Be target and performed a reanalysis [1, 2] of the backgrounds to the LSND $\bar{\nu}_\mu \to \bar{\nu}_e$ oscillation signal [3]. LSND observed a beam-on minus beam-off excess of 117.9 ± 22.4 events. After subtracting a neutrino background of 30.0 ± 6.0 events, LSND determined the oscillation signal to be 87.9 ± 23.2 events [3]. The HARP-CDP group estimates a higher neutrino background of 46.7 ± 20.6 events, which leads to an oscillation signal of 71.2 ± 30.4 events [2]. However, the HARP-CDP group made several errors in making their background estimate. The most egregious errors are discussed below.

HARP-CDP multiplies the intrinsic $\bar{\nu}_e$ background by a factor of 1.6, which is the ratio of "Emulation"/"Best Estimate" $\bar{\nu}_e$ in Table 15 [1]. However, this neglects the fact that HARP-CDP overestimates the decay at rest (DAR) fluxes and does not normalize to the ν_e flux. Thus, HARP-CDP instead should use a factor of 1.21, which is the ratio of "Emulation"/"Best Estimate" $\bar{\nu}_e/\bar{\nu}_\mu$ in Table 15. This increases the intrinsic $\bar{\nu}_e$ background by 4.1 events (from 19.5 to 23.6 total events) instead of by 11.7 events.

In Table 15 of the first HARP-CDP paper [1], the π^+ and π^- decay in flight (DIF) fluxes are factors of 3.3 and 2.5 higher in the "Best Estimate" than in the fluxes used by LSND [3]. However, LSND has made high statistics measurements of ν_μ and $\bar{\nu}_\mu$ scattering [4], and the HARP-CDP "Best Estimate" DIF fluxes are inconsistent with LSND measurements. For example, for $\bar{\nu}_\mu p \to \mu^+ n$ scattering, LSND observes 214 ± 35 events, which is consistent with the flux estimate and a factor of 3.3 times lower than the HARP-CDP flux estimate. For $\nu_\mu C \to \mu^- N_{gs}$ scattering, LSND observes 66.9 ± 9.1 events, which is consistent with the flux estimate and a factor of 2.5 times lower than the HARP-CDP flux estimate. Therefore, it is clear that HARP-CDP is overestimating the DIF flux and overestimating the number of DIF events observed in LSND by factors of 2.5-3.3. As the intrinsic $\bar{\nu}_e$ background all comes from π^- DIF, this implies that the HARP-CDP intrinsic $\bar{\nu}_e$ background estimate should be reduced by a large factor (up to a factor of 3.3).

In Table 17, the first HARP-CDP paper [1] discusses the backgrounds from $\bar{\nu}_\mu p \to \mu^+ n$, where the μ^+ is not observed if it is too low in energy ($T_\mu < 3$ MeV). However, the $T_\mu < 3$ MeV cut is not a hard cutoff. Rather, LSND still observes some muons down to 2 MeV or lower, especially because the energy lost by the recoil neutron is included. Also, LSND checked the background estimate by extrapolating the observed phototube (PMT) hit distribution down to zero. Therefore, the HARP-CDP background estimate of 13.8 events is overestimated, and HARP-CDP should use the LSND value of 10.5 events instead.

The second HARP-CDP paper [2] estimates a background of 2.3 events from $\nu_e C \to e^- N_{gs}$ events, where the N_{gs} beta decay mimics a 2.2 MeV γ from neutron capture. However, this background is overestimated, partly because a 2.2 MeV positron produces more PMT hits than a 2.2 MeV γ. A 2.2 MeV γ produces the same number of PMT hits as an $\sim 1 - 1.5$ MeV positron, including the energy from positron-electron annihilation. In the LSND analysis, these N_{gs} beta decays that mimic 2.2 MeV γs are determined to be very small (~ 0.2 events just to pass the minimal cuts). Indeed, LSND determined that the R distribution of N_{gs} events looks indistinguishable from the R distribution of N inclusive events without a beta.

In summary, using the corrected estimate of the intrinsic $\bar{\nu}_e$ background of 23.6 events, the HARP-CDP excess should be 83.7 events, which agrees reasonably well with the LSND estimate of 87.9 ± 23.2 events. However, this ignores the problem with the HARP-CDP DIF fluxes, which overestimate the intrinsic $\bar{\nu}_e$ backround. For example, if the HARP-CDP intrinsic $\bar{\nu}_e$ flux is reduced by a factor of 3.3 to make the DIF estimates agree with LSND data, then the HARP-CDP $\bar{\nu}_e$ background decreases to 7.1 events and the excess increases to 100.2 ± 23.2 events.

[1] A. Bolshakova *et al.*, arXiv:1110.4265 [hep-ex].
[2] A. Bolshakova *et al.*, arXiv:1112.0907 [hep-ex].
[3] A. Aguilar *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 64, 112007 (2001).
[4] L. B. Auerbach *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 66, 015501 (2002).